

Sutton Courtenay Parish Council

Planning Applications for consideration on Tuesday 2nd June 2026

Application no and weblink	Comments deadline	Location	Proposal
P26/V0779/HH	21 st May	1 High View Court Sutton Courtenay	Porch extension. Conversion of garage loft to home office, with new external staircase. Erection of Garden room and store (outbuilding).
P26/V1214/LB	22 nd May	26 Church Street Sutton Courtenay	Proposed new rear door, replacement rear door, repairs to north elevation, window repairs and internal alterations.
P26/V1201/S73	1 st June	Cross Trees 1 Church Street Sutton Courtenay	Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) on application P22/V2023/S73.
P26/V1202/S19	28 th May	Cross Trees 1 Church Street Sutton Courtenay	Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) on application P22/V2088/S73.
P26/V1203/S19	3 rd June	Cross Trees 1 Church Street Sutton Courtenay	Variation of condition 3 (vents details) on application P22/V2088/S73 - to replace vulnerable approved insulation detailing with resilient watertight detailing.
P26/V1204/S73	3 rd June	Cross Trees 1 Church Street Sutton Courtenay	Variation of condition 3 (vent details) on application P22/V2088/S73 - to replace vulnerable approved insulation detailing with resilient watertight detailing.
P26/V0826/LB & P26/V0825/HH	28 th May	The Manor House Church Street Sutton Courtenay	It is proposed to restore the brick pillars and re-instate this entrance with new wooden gates.
P26/V0798/HH	11 th June	17 The Green Sutton Courtenay	Erection of a rear extension at ground and first floor level, together with associated internal alterations, installation of rooflights and alterations to the rear elevation.

Additional Planning Correspondence:

P26/V0786/LDP	16 Frilsham Street Sutton Courtenay	n/a	Certificate of Lawfulness for the proposed removal of a secondary chimney breast in the kitchen
P26/V0320/FUL	Land to rear of 146 High Street, Sutton Courtenay	15 th May	Amended plans and additional information received.
R3.0070/26	(HIF 1) A linear site comprising a corridor between the A34 Milton Interchange and the B4015 north of Clifton Hampden...	22 nd May	Condition 3 (CEMP for the Didcot to Culham River Crossing section of the development (partial discharge)) of planning permission no. (R3.0138/21)

See page 2 for decision list...

Decision list:

Application no	Location	Proposal	Decision
R3.0114/25	(HIF 1) A linear site comprising a corridor between the A34 Milton Interchange and the B4015 north of Clifton Hampden...	Condition 16 (drainage details in the Clifton Hampden bypass section of the development (partial discharge)) of planning permission no. (R3.0138/21)	APPROVED
R3.0019/26	(HIF 1) A linear site comprising a corridor between the A34 Milton Interchange and the B4015 north of Clifton Hampden...	Condition 5 (topographical plans within the A4130 Widening and Didcot Science Bridge section of the development (partial discharge)) of planning permission no. (R3.0138/21)	APPROVED
MW.0144/23	Land at Culham Weir, Culham	Retention of a spoil heap waste deposit (soil inert)	REFUSED

P25/V1671/S73 (App under consideration) Rye Gardens/Partridge Close

On behalf of residents the Parish Council has been asked to specifically comment upon soakaway provision within private house plots.

Originally all surface water drainage was shown discharging to permavoids below block paved areas with rodding eyes and inspection chambers as required (approved app P15/V1931/DIS, drawing nos 4899 and 4899:01E). Now this application shows the implemented change from block pavings to macadam surfaces with surface water drainage to the rear of buildings directed to rear garden stone filled stowaways (with no access arrangements). This revision was made without location specific percolation tests and third-party site inspections, and merely specified in 2 sizes, types A and B. A good number are shared (with split liability implications), are too close to site boundaries (less than 2.5 metres as required by the Building Regulations), and one serving plots 18 and 19 is shown on the joint plot boundary.

Bearing in mind clay ground conditions and shallow groundwater, the inaccessibility and inefficiency of stone filled soakaways (compared to permavoids) and unsubstantiated size, the provision is of great concern to residents. Further active investigations are required.

Reference: RA/SC/SID26

FAO Jennie Currie

Dear Jennie

**Communities
County Hall
New Road
Oxford
OX1 1ND**

**Paul Fermer
Director of Environment and
Highways**

22nd May 2026

Following on our discussions, please find below details and costs for the installation of 5 x 89mm poles for the deployment of Speed Indicator Devices in Sutton Courtney. The works are to install a pole in locations; Milton Road, Bradstock Way, Appleford Road, Church Street and Drayton Road.

The costs are broken down as follows –

Installation of poles	£ 1733.53
Design / Administration costs 10%	£ 173.35
Total Ex VAT	£ 1906.88
VAT @ 20% of total	£ 381.38
Total	<u>£ 2,288.26</u>

If you wish to proceed, please do let me know.

Kind Regards,

Rose.

Rosebella Angira
Officer (Traffic & Road Safety)
Email: Rosebella.Angira@oxfordshire.gov.uk
www.oxfordshire.gov.uk

VAT number 195 4786 09

===== Forwarded message =====

From: Transport Monitoring - E&E
To: "Jennie - Parish Clerk"
Cc: "Highways Engagement"
Date: Wed, 13 May 2026 11:09:14 +0100
Subject: RE: Traffic surveys

===== Forwarded message =====

Afternoon Jennie – Thank you for the below enquiry and yes booking traffic surveys is something that I can help with.

To capture the required data, we would use tube survey equipment which runs for 7 days recording vehicle speed, class and flow. Cost per survey location is £190 +vat.

To get the ball rolling I would need a map with survey locations marked. This doesn't have to be technical so hand drawn crosses on a map and photo of this will suffice. I'll then check locations for suitability and suggest any relocations if required.

Before I can book any survey work in, I would need the below invoice details first:

Invoice Info:

Name of whom to invoice
Company Name (Parish Council)
Address
Email Address
Phone Number

If you have any further questions, please let me know.

Regards,

Rich

Richard Bowman
Technical Lead - Traffic Monitoring

Traffic Speed Management Strategy – Sutton Courtenay

Objective

Reduce vehicle speeds and improve road safety in Sutton Courtenay using measures that are effective, affordable, and likely to receive approval from Oxfordshire County Council (OCC).

1. Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs)

Radar signs that display a driver's speed ("Your Speed"). They encourage voluntary slowing without enforcement.

Effectiveness: Typically reduces speeds by 1–4 mph.

Best practice: Install 10–12 mounting poles and rotate 2–3 SID units every 4–6 weeks to avoid driver habituation.

Suggested locations: Appleford Road, Milton Road, Drayton Road, Church Street, Bradstocks Way.

Typical cost: £2,000–£4,000 per device.

2. Village Gateway Features

Visual cues at village entrances signalling that drivers are entering a low speed environment.

Typical components: White gateway posts, red road surface patch, speed roundels, edge line narrowing.

Effectiveness: 3–7 mph reduction through psychological speed cues.

Suggested entrances: Appleford Road, Milton Road, Abingdon Road, Sutton Lane.

Typical cost: £5,000–£10,000 per gateway.

3. Priority Chicanes (Road Narrowing)

Physical road buildouts forcing vehicles to give way and slow down.

Effectiveness: 5–10 mph reduction in average speeds.

Benefits: Very effective against rat-running traffic.

Potential locations: Drayton Road, Appleford Road, Church Street.

Typical cost: £20,000–£40,000.

4. Raised Tables or Zebra Crossings

Raised road platforms that force vehicles to slow significantly while improving pedestrian safety.

Effectiveness: Speeds typically reduced to 15–20 mph.

Good locations: Near the primary school, village centre, or common pedestrian crossing points.

Typical cost: £10,000–£25,000.

5. Community Speedwatch

Volunteer groups monitor speeds using handheld radar devices and share results with Thames Valley Police.

Benefits: Encourages driver compliance, supports enforcement activity, and is very low cost.

Measure	Effectiveness	Typical Cost	Approval Likelihood
Speed Indicator Devices	Moderate	Low	Very High

Village Gateways	Moderate–High	Low	Very High
Priority Chicanes	High	Medium	High
Raised Tables	High	Medium	High
Average Speed Cameras	Very High	Very High	Low

Recommended Strategy for Sutton Courtenay

Phase 1 – Immediate: Install 10–12 SID poles, operate 2–3 rotating SIDs, and introduce village gateway features.

Phase 2 – Engineering: Build two priority chicanes and one raised pedestrian crossing near the school or village centre.

Phase 3 – Behavioural: Expand Community Speedwatch and support enforcement of 20 mph areas.

Key Principle: Long-term speed reduction works best when combining driver feedback (SIDs), visual cues (gateways), and physical traffic calming (chicanes or raised tables).

From : liaplowman@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk
To : "Parish Clerk" < info@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk >
CC : ritaatkinson@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk, paulgalliver@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk,
ianpratley@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk, hugoraworth@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk,
cathyking@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk, joannaocallaghan@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk,
jasonwarwick@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk, robertdalby@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk
Date : Fri, 13 Mar 2026 14:54:52 +0000
Subject : Village Sign/s

Hello Jennie,

Could you please put this in our next Parish Council meeting?

We have a very sorry looking "Sutton Courtenay" sign as you come off the Sutton Courtenay bridge then turn right on Appleford Road to enter the village - (sign is on the left hand side).

I was looking at possible replacements with something more traditional looking. There are a couple of websites that I have attached below.

From the information I have gathered it is best not to use wood but polyurethane or cast aluminium for longevity. A one sided sign will start at approximately £5,000.

I think the websites speak for themselves. At this stage I don't think there is any point if/where it/they go exactly (Council permitting).

It is basically just to get the rest of the councillors opinions before anything else.

<https://www.signsofthetimes.co.uk/village-centre-signs/>

<https://www.villagesignpeople.com/>

Lia Plowman

From : ritaatkinson@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk
To : "Parish Council" <ianpratley@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk>
CC : info@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk
Date : Wed, 04 Mar 2026 08:43:11 +0000
Subject : Re: [Official] Reolink Go PT Ultra - 4G Solar Camera with 4K Pan & Tilt

Thank you Ian

not looked at damage-proof location, installation and price etc yet - query to Jennie - can we use CIL for CCTV? This is also an ideal candidate for a grant from the Police Crime Commissioner's Community grant. We missed the February round but he does run two a year. Think next round is early autumn but can check.

thank you
kind regards
Rita

From: Parish Council <ianpratley@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk>
To: "Rita Atkinson" <ritaatkinson@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk>, "Rita Atkinson" <ritaatkinson@suttoncourtenay-pc.gov.uk>
Date: Tue, 03 Mar 2026 20:58:37 +0000
Subject: [Official] Reolink Go PT Ultra - 4G Solar Camera with 4K Pan & Tilt

<https://reolink.com/gb/product/reolink-go-pt-ultra/>

This camera doesn't need WiFi and is solar powered. It could sweep the entire rec, has night vision.

Also to let you know CCLA is govt 'insured' up to £85k under FSCS

From: Climate.Action <Climate.Action@Oxfordshire.gov.uk>

Date: Tue, 12 May 2026 18:29:43 +0100

Subject: Annual community payments from renewable energy – help shape Oxfordshire’s new policy

Hello,

Oxfordshire's communities can benefit from the renewable energy projects currently progressing through the planning system. We are writing to invite your Parish Council to take part in a public consultation on a new policy that could put long-term, locally controlled funding directly into your community's hands. The draft Interim Policy for Community Benefit Contributions and Community Shared Ownership is now open for public consultation on Let's Talk Oxfordshire.

👉 Respond here: [Let's Talk Oxfordshire – CBC/CSO Consultation](#)

WHAT THIS COULD MEAN FOR YOUR PARISH

- For host communities of renewable energy projects, annual payments to your community, index-linked from the developer to a local fund for the full operational life of the project, potentially 20 to 40 years.
- For renewable energy projects under 10 MW, 100% of the community benefit contribution stays in the local fund. It comes directly to communities like yours.
- Decisions on how to spend the Local Fund will be made by you, guided by a Community Action Plan developed with and for your community.
- The pipeline is already here. There are over 25 projects in the Oxfordshire planning system and this pipeline could generate contracts for over £20 million in community benefit contributions by 2030. This policy ensures your community gets its fair share.

AN IMPORTANT POINT ON PLANNING

This policy does not determine whether renewable energy projects get built – that is entirely a matter for the planning system. What this policy does is ensure that if a project is approved, your community is treated fairly and consistently. We cannot control what comes forward – but we can make sure Oxfordshire communities get the best out of it.

HOW TO TAKE PART

We encourage your Parish Council to submit a formal response to the consultation. The consultation closes Monday 15th June 2026. For queries, please contact climate.action@oxfordshire.gov.uk

Regards

Mark Saunders

Energy Systems and Investment Manager, Oxfordshire County Council

Public Consultation on a draft Interim Policy for Community Benefit Contributions and Community Shared Ownership for Low Carbon/Energy Projects in Oxfordshire

Help shape how renewable energy projects benefit Oxfordshire communities

We are asking for your views on a proposed policy that will guide how communities benefit from new renewable energy projects such as solar farms, wind turbines and battery storage.

As Oxfordshire moves towards cleaner energy, it is important that local people and communities:

- Benefit from development in their area
- Have a say in how funding is used
- Can take part in shared ownership opportunities

Your feedback will help us improve the policy before it is finalised.

The interim policy consultation is divided into three parts:

Part 1: Purpose, draft interim policy statement, and scope

Part 2: A shared approach to CBC and CSO negotiations

Part 3: Key questions on the management and administration of CBC and CSO opportunities

Your responses will help inform revisions and improvements to the draft policy in Parts 1 and 2 prior to adoption and will also help shape the future implementation of CBC and CSO opportunities.

Q1. I am responding to this survey as:

- an Oxfordshire resident
- a representative of a business
- a representative of a group or organisation
- a representative of a community energy group
- a parish or town Councillor
- a district, or county Councillor
- a representative of a developer of low carbon projects
- a landowner / farmer
- Other – please state

Q1a. Please give the name of the business you represent

Q1b. Please give the name of the group/organisation you represent

Q1c. Please give your name and the parish or town/ward or division you represent

Q2. Prior to reading the details of this project, were you aware of the Government's plan to bring in community benefit contributions and community shared ownership as part of new private, 'for profit' low carbon energy development projects?

- Yes
- No

Policy Statement and Qualifying Projects *(Pages 8-10 of the Consultation document)*

1.1 Policy Statement

Oxfordshire councils expect private, for profit, developers of qualifying low carbon energy infrastructure projects to voluntarily:

- provide a Community Benefit Contribution (CBC); and
- offer opportunities for Community Shared Ownership (CSO), either in part or in full, within their projects.

When the Government introduces mandatory requirements for CBC and CSO schemes, this policy will continue to apply to those projects already within the planning system - likely up to 2030 - that are not captured by the new mandatory regime.

1.2 Qualifying Low Carbon Energy Infrastructure Projects

This policy applies to all private, for profit, low carbon energy infrastructure projects with an installed capacity of 5MW or greater than that:

- are within the planning system (at either local or national level), now or in the future
- are located within Oxfordshire; and
- connect to the electricity distribution or transmission network or via a private wire¹.

Qualifying technologies include (and any combination thereof):

- Ground-mounted solar photovoltaics;
- On-shore wind;
- Energy storage, including battery storage and Long Duration Electricity Storage (LDES);
- Power Carbon Capture Usage and Storage (CCUS); and
- Hydrogen to Power (H2P) and Power to Hydrogen (P2H).

Q3. To what extent do you agree or disagree that paragraphs 1.1 and 1.2 (Policy Statement and Qualifying Projects) set out what you would expect from a policy on CBC and CSO?

- Strongly agree
- Partly agree
- Partly disagree
- Strongly disagree

[Conditional formatting – if partly agree, partly disagree or strongly disagree]

Q4. Please tell us how paragraphs 1.1 and 1.2 could be improved:

Project Size Threshold

The Government's working paper identifies projects of 5MW or greater ($\geq 5\text{MW}$) as potentially eligible for a future mandatory scheme. For reasons of project viability and the resourcing required for CBC and CSO negotiations, the preference in this interim policy is to retain the $\geq 5\text{MW}$ threshold.

Q5. Please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with this proposed threshold?

- Strongly agree
- Partly agree
- Partly disagree
- Strongly disagree

[Conditional formatting – if partly agree, partly disagree or strongly disagree]

Q6. Please explain the reasons for your answer and indicate your preferred threshold:

Q7. Technology Scope

Does the list of low carbon energy technologies set out in paragraph 1.2 reflect the types of projects you expect to come forward in Oxfordshire up to 2030?

- Yes
- Mostly
- Not much
- No

[Conditional formatting – if mostly, not much or no]

Q8. Please indicate which technologies are missing:

1.3 Scope

This policy is intended as an interim local measure to encourage developers to voluntarily engage in the provision of CBC and CSO, while the Government develops proposals to mandate such approaches in the future.

The policy applies to all private, for profit, low carbon and energy infrastructure projects with installed capacity of 5MW or greater that are located wholly or partly within Oxfordshire. This includes Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).

CBC and CSO are defined as follows (and illustrated in Figure 1 below):

- Community Benefit Contributions (CBC): financial contributions made by developers of energy projects to local and, where appropriate, strategic funds, to support community priorities.
- Community Shared Ownership (CSO): a financial stake in an energy project, either through part-ownership of the asset or participation in a revenue stream, which generates income. Any surplus, or Community Shared Ownership Benefit (CSOB) is then reinvested in activities across Oxfordshire to support the energy transition.

1.4 Planning status

CBC and CSO are not material planning considerations.

They are secured through voluntary negotiation under this local policy and are separate from the planning process. Planning decisions will not consider whether, or to what extent, a developer has made a voluntary offer in relation to CBC or CSO.

1.5 Legal Basis

This policy has been developed to align with proposals from the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) for a future mandatory framework for CBC and CSO.

It also supports local authorities in delivering their statutory duties, strategies, and plans under the following:

- the Local Government Act 1999, section 3 (Best Value), and associated statutory guidance (2024);
- the Climate Change Act 2008;
- the Localism Act 2011, section 1 (General Power of Competence);
- the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012;
- the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023;
- Government's Clean Power Action Plan 2030; and
- Government's Local Power Plan (February 2026).

Q9. Policy scope and coverage

Do paragraphs 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 sufficiently describe the scope and extent of the interim policy?

- Yes
- Mostly
- Not much
- No

[Conditional formatting – if mostly, not much or no]

Q10. Please explain your reasons and suggest any additional areas that could be included:

Part 2 (please refer to pages 11– 26 of the Consultation Document for further info)

Q11. Guiding Principles

Overall, do the draft guiding principles (GP-1-7) provide a suitable basis to guide voluntary negotiations on CBC and CSO for commercial low carbon energy projects in Oxfordshire?

- Yes
- Mostly
- Not much
- No

[Conditional formatting – if not much or no]

Q12. What would you change and why?

[Conditional formatting – if yes or mostly]

Q13. Are there any improvements you would suggest?

Q14. Flexibility of Guiding Principles

Do any of the descriptions within GP1-7 limit the approach to negotiations in a negative way?

- Yes
- No

[Conditional formatting – if yes]

Q15. Please describe the limitations.

Q16. Community Representation

If a large energy project (e.g. solar or wind farm) were proposed in your area, which community leaders or representatives should be involved in the negotiations? Please be as specific as possible.

Q17. CBC Calculation Methodology

Paragraph 2.4 proposes a methodology for calculating CBC based on £/MW installed/year. (Please see appendix D for alternatives considered)

To what extent do you support or oppose this as the preferred approach?

- Fully support
- Somewhat support
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose

[\[Conditional formatting – if somewhat oppose or strongly oppose\]](#)

Q18. Which alternative option do you prefer and why?

Q19. CBC Tariffs for different technologies

Paragraph 2.5 (page 18 of the consultation document and Appendix B) sets out tariffs for mature technologies, based on current UK guidance for voluntary CBC negotiations.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed tariffs for ground-mounted solar, wind, and battery storage in Oxfordshire?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree

[Conditional formatting – if somewhat disagree or strongly disagree]

Q20. What would you change? Please provide reasons and/or supporting evidence.

Q21. Local and Strategic Fund Priorities

Paragraph 2.6 provides examples of the types of projects that Local and Strategic Funds could invest in.

Please provide your views on the types of projects that the Local and Strategic Funds could invest in.

Q22. Local and Strategic Fund Priorities

Paragraph 2.7 (page 21, in the consultation document) sets out guidance for fund investments.

Please provide your views on the guidance in paragraph 2.7.

Q23. Sliding Scale for Fund Distribution

Paragraph 2.8 (page 23 in the consultation document) outlines a preferred sliding scale methodology for apportioning CBC between Local and Strategic Funds.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with this approach?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree

Q24. Please explain the reasoning for your answer:

Q25. Unallocated Local Fund Spend

A key challenge for Local Funds is allocating all the annual funding, particularly for large levels of CBC which are paid annually for a minimum of 20 years but could extend to 40 years.

To what extent do you agree or disagree that any unallocated Local Fund in a given year should be transferred to the Strategic Fund to support bigger programmes or projects?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree

[Conditional formatting – if somewhat disagree or strongly disagree]

Q26. Please explain the reasons for your answer:

Q27. Paragraph 2.9 compares CBC and CSO in terms of value/tariffs.

Does this comparison make you more or less inclined to consider CSO as a key opportunity for host communities?

- Yes, more inclined
- Yes, somewhat inclined
- No, somewhat less inclined
- No, not inclined at all

Conditional formatting – if no, somewhat less inclined or no, not inclined at all

Q28. Please explain the reasons for your answer:

Part 3 (please refer to pages 27– 34 of the Consultation Document for further info)

Q29. Roles and responsibilities in CBC and CSO delivery

In paragraph 3.3 (page 28 in the consultation document), we have set out the roles of key stakeholders in the securing and implementation of both CBC and CSO.

Are there any missing roles and responsibilities that should be included?

- Yes
- No

Conditional formatting – if yes

Q30. Please identify any additional roles and responsibilities that should be considered

Q31. Local Investment Boards (LIBs) membership

The role of the Local Investment Board is set out in 3.3.4 (page 29, in the consultation document)

Please identify any additional organisations or partners that you suggest should be included on LIBs to help guide investment decisions for Local Funds:

Q32. Strategic Investment Board (SIB) membership

The role and membership of the Strategic Investment Board is set out in 3.3.7 (page 30, in the consultation document).

Please list any additional organisations or partners that you believe should be included on the SIB to help guide investment decisions for the Strategic Fund:

Q33. Local Community Action Plan (CAP). A draft template for a Local Community Action Plan is in Appendix C, page 40-42, of the consultation document.

What do you see as the key challenges in developing a local CAP?

Q34. What do you see as the key opportunities in developing a local CAP?

Q35. What changes or improvements would you recommend to the CAP template?

Q36. Community Shared Ownership (CSO) route map

Section 3.4, page 31 of the consultation document, describes the benefits of CSO and sets out a route map to support the growth of CSO in Oxfordshire and the opportunity that private, for-profit low carbon energy projects.

Apart from Local Authorities and Community Energy Groups, who else should be involved in the CSO route map?

Q37. If you suggested anyone else, what should their indicative roles be?

Q38. (For Community Groups only)

Please indicate whether your community energy group is interested in developing and managing future generation assets via CSO from private, for-profit low carbon energy projects?

- Yes
- Maybe

No

Conditional formatting – if yes or maybe

Q39. Please provide the name and contact email for your group:

Q40. What can your group offer to the CSO route map? (e.g. expertise, local engagement, project delivery experience, financial capability)

Q41. Readiness to participate in CSO

Please tick the statement that best describes your organisation:

- Immediately ready to start negotiating and managing CSO
- Requires further support and development before it can commit to CSO negotiations and management
- Requires substantial support before being ready for CSO negotiations and management
- Other (please describe):

About you

We would like to know more about you so that we can understand more about our customers and residents, as it helps us to know if we are hearing the views of a wide range of people and communities.

If you do not wish to provide any of this information, please select prefer not to say or skip the question as appropriate.

All information given is anonymous and is governed by the UK GDPR and General Data Protection Regulations 2018

Q42. What is your postcode?

Q43. What is your age?

- Under 16
- 16 - 24
- 25 - 34
- 35 - 44
- 45 - 54
- 55 - 64
- 65 – 74
- 75 - 84
- 85 or over
- Prefer not to say

Q44. What is your sex?

- Female
- Male
- Prefer not to say
- I use another term (please state here)

Q45. What is your ethnic group or background?

- Asian or Asian British (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi or any other Asian background)
- Black or Black British (Caribbean, African, or any other Black background)
- Chinese
- Mixed or multiple ethnic groups (White and Black Caribbean, White and Black African, White and Asian, and any other mixed background)
- White (British, Irish, or any other white background)
- Prefer not to say
- Other ethnic group or background (please specify)

Q46. Would you like to find out more about what's happening in Oxfordshire?

We'd like to invite you to receive email updates on news, events and developments across Oxfordshire and opportunities to have your say.

The email contact details you provide will be separated from the feedback you have shared in this survey.

Would you like to sign up to any of the following?

(Please tick all that apply)

Your Oxfordshire – our residents e-newsletter

Consultations e-newsletter - with opportunities to have your say and updates on our response to residents' feedback

Updates specifically about this consultation.

No thanks

If you'd like to sign up, please provide your email address below: (make this question a conditional formatting question which is mandatory if any of the check boxes above are ticked)

| Thank you for taking the time to answer these questions

From : ian@localplanservices.co.uk
Date : Tue, 19 May 2026 13:50:24 +0100
Subject : South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse Joint Local Plan - Part 2 Examination Arrangements

Good Afternoon,

Further to comments submitted in respect of the South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse Joint Local Plan Regulation 19 consultation, I email to inform you that the appointed Planning Inspectors R A Bust BSc (Hons) MA MSc LLM PhD MInstLM MCMI MIEEnvSci MRTPI, C Mulloy BSc (Hons) DipTP MRTPI and G J Fort BA PGDip LLM MCD MRTPI will resume hearing sessions at **09.30am on Tuesday 7th July 2026 at the Council Offices, Abbey House, Abbey Close, Abingdon, OXON, OX14 3JE.**

I continue to act as Programme Officer for the Examination and am responsible for assisting the Inspectors with the administrative and procedural aspects of the Examination process. I am not an Officer of the Councils and have not been involved in the preparation of the Joint Local Plan. I remain your primary point of contact for any queries you may have throughout the Examination process.

The hearings in July will focus on updated or new information relevant to legal and procedural compliance, the national policy requirement for maintaining effective cooperation, the housing requirement and the vision, objectives and spatial strategy.

Following consideration of these matters, the Inspectors will decide if the Plan and Examination can progress. Should they decide this is the case, additional hearings will be identified in due course to consider further matters with separate arrangements being made. It is currently envisaged these may take place in the Autumn of this year.

Guidance Notes ([ID17](#)) have been prepared by the Inspectors to explain many of the procedural and administrative aspects of the process. The Inspectors' Part 2 Matters, Issues and Questions ([ID19](#)) provide the framework for any further written submissions you may wish to make and provide the foundation for discussions during the hearing sessions. The Part 2 Hearing Programme ([ID18](#)) sets out the draft schedule for the Hearings.

An [Examination Website](#) has been established and will be regularly updated throughout the process.

As a respondent, you have three options available to you in relation to the Part 2 Examination Stage:

1. If you are content to rely on your original comments, do not intend to provide any further written comments or are interested in matters beyond the scope of the Part 2 Hearings there is no need for you to do anything further at this stage.
2. If objections were raised within your consultation response to the substance of the Part 2 Matters, Issues and Questions (MIQ) you are welcome to submit further comments in response to the questions the Inspectors have identified. The deadline for submission of Written Statements is **noon on Tuesday 16 June 2026 for electronic copies and 5pm on Friday 19 June 2026 for 2 hard copies to be received. Both are required.**
3. If the Part 2 Matters identified are relevant to your consultation response and you wish to participate in the Part 2 Hearing Matters, please let me know by **noon on Tuesday 2 June 2026. In your response, please state which hearing session(s) and matters you wish to participate in based on your previous representations and the MIQ.** Representors requesting to participate during the hearing sessions are also welcome to supply Written Statements should they wish to do so, in accordance with the guidance and deadlines.

If you have any queries at this stage, please do not hesitate to contact me and I shall be happy to assist.

Regards

Ian Kemp
Programme Officer