



Minutes for the Emergency Parish Council Meeting held on Tuesday 20th April, via Zoom meetings commencing at 7.15pm

Present: Cllrs Mike Jenkins (chair), Rita Atkinson, Eileen Daw, Simon Lazare, Patrick Stewart and Louis Thurman. Also in attendance was County and District Councillor Richard Webber.

Clerk: Helen Savery

3 Members of the Public

2021/115

Apologies for absence

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Jennie Corrigan.

2021/116

Declarations of interest

There were no interests declared

2021/117

Proposed river crossing and Clifton Hampden bypass

(a) The email from Oxfordshire County Council was discussed. It was agreed and

RESOLVED:

that a letter in response be sent addressed to the current Leader of the Council.

The letter should include thanks for the generic response and request, once again, information in relation to the proposed new roundabout and the research done in order to decide on its effectiveness and to request that the leader of the council (following the May elections) attend a parish council meeting and discuss the data. Also to copy, if resolved at point (b) a copy of the letter to be sent to the Mr Robert Jenrick. The letter to OCC to be copied to Appleford, Culham and Clifton Hampden Parish Councils as other parishes most affected by the proposals.

(b) It was discussed, agreed and

RESOLVED:

that a letter be sent to the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government, Mr Robert Jenrick in relation to the an extension of the deadlines for the Housing Infrastructure funding.

The letter to explain that it is felt that there had been a lack of constructive consultation and that it was felt that the matter was being rushed due to the deadlines. It was also to mention the fact that the council had not, despite requests received the information referred to above in relation to the proposals. It was agreed that the letter should confirm that Council is not in opposition to the proposal for a new road but is concerned about the proposed junction in Sutton Courtenay and that it is currently unable to make informed comments on the proposals as it has not been provided with the evidence and rationale behind the proposals and positioning.